To: G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. G. EL EHRLICH	PATENT COOPERATION TREATY					
G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. II MENACHEM BEGIN STREET RAMAT-GAN, ISRAEL 52 521 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 2 7 OCT 2034 Applicant's or agent's file reference 27612 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year						
RAMAT-GAN, ISRAEL 52 521 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis. 1) Date of mailting (day/month/year) 2 7 0 CT 2004 Applicant's or agent's file reference 27612 Thermational application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IL04/00161 19 February 2004 (19.02.2004) 19 February 2003 (19.02.2003) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04Q 7/20 and US Cl.: 455/458,565,410 Applicant EBENSHPANGER, GIDON 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. VV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ('IPEA') except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses a Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis 66 that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	To: G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.					
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 2 7 0 C T 2004	11 MENACHEM BEGIN STREET					
Applicant's or agent's file reference 27612 International application No. PCT/ILO4/00161 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04Q 7/20 and US Cl.: 455/458,565,410 Applicant EBENSHPANGER, GIDON I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis (b that written opinions of this International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis (b that written opinions of this International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis (b that written opinions of this International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis (b that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
See paragraph 2 below 27612 International application No.						
International application No.						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04Q 7/20 and US Cl.: 455/458,565,410 Applicant EBENSHPANGER, GIDON 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses a Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04Q 7/20 and US Cl.: 455/458,565,410 Applicant EBENSHPANGER, GIDON 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses at Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis (b that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	PCT/II 04/00161					
Applicant EBENSHPANGER, GIDON 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Applicant EBENSHPANGER, GIDON 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	IPC(7): H040 7/20 and HS CI					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis (b that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	EBENSHPANGER, GIDON					
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	1. This opinion contains indica					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses at Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis (b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	Box No. II Priority					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses at Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	Box No. III No					
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis (b that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	K3					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses at Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis (b that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses at Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis (b that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	Box No. VI Ce					
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses at Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis (b that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	Box No. VII Ce					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses at Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis (b that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses at Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	2. FURTHER ACTION					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the	International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b)					
IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Authorized officer						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Boy 1450 Huy Nguyen Huy Nguyen	Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US					
1.0. DOX 1450	P.O. Box 1450					
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 703-305-3283 Telephone No. (703) 305-3230	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International	plication No.	
PCT/IL04/00		

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
DOX 130. 1 Dasis of this opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:
·

International lication No.		
PCT/IL04/C	ļ	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1. Statemen	nt			•••				
N	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-30	•	YES			
_	,	Claims			10			
				:	•			
I	nventive step (IS)	Claims	1-30	.,	ES			
		Claims	NONE		10			
I	ndustrial applicability (IA)	Claims			ES			
		Claims	NONE	N	10			
2 Citations	and avalanations:							
	and explanations:	(2)		:-l., 6 6				
producing a	meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2) disconnect indicator after one of the plurality	of cellula	r telephones engaged in communicati	on disconnects, the syste	em			
comprising:	a connection verification generator for genera	ating a co	nnection verification signal; a disconr	ect indicator associated	with			
at least one c absence of a	ellular telephone; and a verification response verification response confirming a disconnect	tion of a	cellular telephone, whereby in the eve	int of a disconnection sai	d			
	dicator is operated.			•				
				•				
				•				
				•				
			•					